



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,944	02/04/2000	Christopher Warnock	EBRY0001	9493
22862	7590	12/26/2007	EXAMINER	
GLENN PATENT GROUP 3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L MENLO PARK, CA 94025			LE, NANCY LOAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/498,944	Applicant(s) WARNOCK ET AL.	
	Examiner NANCY T. LE	Art Unit 3621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) NANCY T. LE (Examiner). (3) Michael Glenn (Attorney for Applicants).  
 (2) Andrew Fischer (Supervisory Patent Examiner). (4) Juliette Hirt, Chris Radcliff (Applicants).

Date of Interview: 14 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 67.


Identification of prior art discussed: LeBourgeois (WO 98/42098).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 ANDREW J. FISCHER  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claims 1 and 67 and the prior art LeBourgeois with respect to the distinction between the document taught in the prior art and the claimed delivery of multiple versions of a document. SPE Fischer suggested the applicants to revise the claim languages that have MPEP 2173.05 (d) issue, i.e., the language contains the term "such as" (exemplary), non-positively-recited term "allowing" and ambiguity issue in the third limitation of the claim 67. The Office is awaiting the applicants' amendment.